IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2557 OF 2005

Deepak Vishwanath Gahiwal ...Petitioner
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Ms.D.Nagerka Adv. with Mr. Bhavesh Parmar Adv. for Petitioner
Ms. M.P.Thakur A.G.P. for Respondents 1 & 4
Mr. Anilkumar Patil Adv. for Respondents 2 & 3

CORAM: F.I.REBELLO & ROSHAN DALVI, JJ.
DATED: 8TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.

. From the averments in the Petition it is clear that the Petitioner was granted temporary appointment. On enquiry with the learned Counsel as to whether the appointment was according to the advertisement in News papers or through Employment Exchange, learned Counsel says that it was not so.

. Respondent has filed reply in which it is set out that the post was reserved post and the Petitioner was appointed temporarily. The Petitioner has no right to be regularised. Consequently this would not be a fit case where this Court should exercise its extra ordinary jurisdiction. Hence, the Petition is dismissed.

(F.I.REBELLOW, J.)

(ROSHAN DALVI, J.)